

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/566,073	<b>Applicant(s)</b> STRANO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JUN LI	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

**All Participants:**

(1) Examiner JUN LI.

(2) Attorney Tom Thresh.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 03/18/2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*See Examiner's Amendmetn prepared*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed changes to correct minor informalities in the claims in order to place the application in a better condition for allowance. The examiner's amendment was reviewed with attorney and attorney authorized the proposed changes.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

